The association between genetic characteristics and treatment failure when switching from biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs/Janus kinase inhibitors in patients with rheumatoid arthritis

Bobkova A.O.¹, Lila A.M.^{1,2}, Karateev A.E.¹, Guseva I.A.¹, Samarkina E.Yu.¹, Shabatina M.V.¹, Konovalova N.V.³, Varlamov D.A.³

¹V.A. Nasonova Research Institute of Rheumatology, Moscow; ²Russian Medical Academy of Continuing Professional Education, Ministry of Health of Russia, Moscow; ³All-Russia Research Institute of Agricultural Biotechnology, Moscow

¹34A, Kashirskoe Shosse, Moscow 115522, Russia; ²2/1, Barrikadnaya Street, Build. 1, Moscow 125993, Russia; ³42, Timiryazevskaya Street, Moscow 127550, Russia

Results and discussion. The bDMARD/JAKi responder and non-responder groups consisted of 47 (50%) patients each. Carrying the variant T (TT+CT) allele of the TNFAIP3 SNP (rs10499194) and the T (GT+TT) allele of STAT4 (rs7574865) independently increased the risk of non-response to bDMARDs/JAKi (TT+CT vs CC: odds ratio (OR)=2.84 [95% confidence interval (CI): 1.23-6.56]; p=0.013; OR=3.18 [95% CI: 1.36-7.46]; p=0.007, respectively). The presence of T minor alleles of the TNFSF13B (BAFF) gene SNP (rs9514828) and the G (AG+GG) KCNS1 gene (rs734784) were independently associated with a reduced risk of treatment failure (CC vs. CT+TT: OR=0.25 [95% CI: 0.12-0.74]; p=0.008, respectively). The multiplicative model (G vs A) was statistically significant for the TNFA gene SNP (rs1800629) (OR=3.12 [95% CI: 1.1-9.03] p=0.037); the super-dominant model was statistically significant for the CTLA-4 gene (rs231775) – (AA+GG vs AG: OR=2.6 [95% CI: 1.14-6.25] p=0.022).

Conclusions. TNFAIP3 (rs10499194), STAT4 (rs7574865), TNFA (rs1800629), TNFSF13B (BAFF) (rs9514828), KCNS1 (rs734784) and CTLA-4 (rs231775) were identified as six genetic predictors of treatment inefficiency in bDMARDs/JAKi switching.

Keywords: inefficiency, SNPs, gene, TNFAIP3 (rs10499194), TNFA (rs1800629), TNFSF13B (BAFF) (rs9514828), KCNS1 (rs734784), STAT4 (rs7574865), bDMARDs, JAKi, switching.

Contacts: Anastasia Olegovna Bobkova; nasta07041@gmail.com

For reference: Bobkova AO, Lila AM, Karateev AE, Guseva IA, Samarkina EYu, Shabatina MV, Konovalova NV, Varlamov DA. The association between genetic characteristics and treatment failure when switching from biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs/Janus kinase inhibitors in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Sovremennaya Revmatologiya=Modern Rheumatology Journal. 2025;19(1):20–28. DOI: 10.14412/1996-7012-2025-1-20-28

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is an autoimmune inflammatory disease described by progressive joint damage and extraarticular involvement of internal organs [1–3]. In recent years, the treatment of RA has undergone significant changes due to the widespread introduction of biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (bDMARDs) and Janus kinase inhibitors (JAKi), which have significantly increased the likelihood of achieving remission or low disease activity (LDA) [4–8]. However, the problem of a personalized

approach to prescribing bDMARDs and JAKi based on the use of reliable biomarkers remains unresolved [9–14]. Age, gender, concomitant therapy, body mass index, smoking, duration and activity of RA, functional status, presence of rheumatoid factor (RF) and anti-citrullinated protein antibodies (ACPAs) have been evaluated as predictors of treatment response. However, it is not possible to effectively personalize therapy using prognostic models based on these parameters [15–18].

Single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) of genes may determine the response to therapy with biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (bDMARDs) and Janus kinase inhibitors (JAKi) in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA).

Objective. The aim of this study was to find the association between polymorphisms of IL-6 (rs1800795), IL-6R (rs2228145), TNFAIP3 (rs10499194, rs6920220), TNFA (rs1800629), CTLA-4 (rs231775), TNFSF13B (BAFF) (rs9514828), KCNS1 (rs734784), COMT (rs4633), IL-10 (rs1800872) and STAT4 (rs7574865) genes and poor response of RA patients to switching from ineffective bDMARD or JAKi to another type of bDMARD/JAKi therapy.

Materials and methods. The study group consisted of 94 patients with RA (85.1% were females, mean age 47.2 ± 13.8 years) with moderate to high disease activity (DAS28-CRP – 5.38 ± 0.90) despite bDMARDs/JAKi therapy. All patients were switched to another bDMARD/iJAKi, including 12 (12.8%) to TNF α , 27 (28.7%) to iIL-6, 46 (48.9%) to rituximab, and 9 (9.6%) to JAKi. After 6 months, RA activity was assessed by DAS28-CRP, SDAI and CDAI indices. Two groups were distinguished: responders (achievement of remission or low disease activity – DAS28-CRP 3,2, SDAI 11, CDAI<10) and non-responders (maintenance of moderate/high disease activity according to the same indices). Polymerase chain reaction genotyping for SNPs of the above genes was performed in all patients.

In this regard, the evaluation of genetic features of patients with RA is of great interest. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of a number of genes have been found to be associated with changes in RA activity and progression [19–27], as well as with variability of response to bD-MARDs/JAKi. Therefore, the detection of certain SNPs may be a valuable method for predicting response to antirheumatic therapy [16, 28–35]. However, despite numerous studies, no universally accepted genetic markers of response to bDMARDs/JAKi have been identified.

The aim of this study was to investigate the potential role of SNPs such as IL-6(rs1800795), IL-6R (rs2228145), TNFAIP3 (rs10499194. rs6920220). **TNFA** (rs1800629), CTLA-4 (rs231775), TN-FSF13B (BAFF) (rs9514828), KCNS1 (rs734784), COMT (rs4633), IL-10 (rs1800872) and STAT4 (rs7574865) genes predictors of response to bDMARDs/JAKi in a population of RA patients with prior non-response to bD-MARDs/ JAKi with another mechanism of action.

Material and methods. The study group consisted of 94 patients with a confirmed diagnosis of RA fulfilling the 2010 ACR/EULAR (American College of Rheumatology/European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology) criteria. The patients were admitted to V.A. Nasonova Research Institute of Rheumatology between October 2022 and October 2023 due to RA exacerbation and ineffectiveness of previous therapy. The majority of patients were middle-aged women, seropositive for

RF and ACPA, with moderate or high disease activity (Table 1).

At the time of recruitment, all patients were reviewed by a bDMARD/JAKi prescribing committee to decide which drug the patient should be switched to, based on the failure of the previous therapy. Of the patients, 12 (12.8%) were prescribed TNFi, 27 (28.7%) – iIL6, 46 (48.9%) – RTX, 9 (9.6%) – JAKi.

The efficacy of the bDMARDs/JAKi treatment was assessed after 6 months. The treatment was considered effective if remission or LDA (DAS28–CRP≤3.2; SDAI≤11; CDAI<10) was achieved, otherwise patients were assigned to the non-responder group. Forty-seven patients were enrolled in each group. The resulting groups were comparable in terms of gender, age, presence of RF and ACPA.

Venous blood samples were taken from all patients on admission for genotyping of SNPs: *IL-6R (rs2228145)*, *TNFAIP3 (rs10499194, rs6920220)*, *TNFA (rs1800629)*, *CTLA-4 (rs231775)*, *TNFSF13B (BAFF) (rs9514828)*, *KCNS1 (rs734784)*, *COMT (rs4633)*, *IL-10 (rs1800872)* and S*TAT4 (rs7574865)*. The *IL-6 gene (rs1800795)* was only investigated in 84 patients. Genotyping was performed with real-time polymerase chain reaction using original sequencing specific primers and samples labelled with different fluorescent tags (Syntol Research and Development Company). Automatic

Table	1:	Patient	characteristics	(n=94)
-------	----	---------	-----------------	--------

Parameter	Value
Age, years, $M\pm\sigma$	47.2±13.8
Female/male, %	85.1/14.9
Duration of RA, years, Me [Q1–Q3]	11.0 [6.0; 16]
RF+, n (%)	75 (79.8)
ACPA+, n (%)	69 (73.4)
TJC, Me [Q1–Q3]	10.0 [7.0; 15]
SJC, Me [Q1–Q3]	5.0 [4.0; 9.0]
PtGA (VAS, mm) Me [Q1–Q3]	70.0 [60.0; 80.0]
PhGA (VAS, mm) Me [Q1–Q3]	70.0 [60.0; 70.0]
ESR, mm/h, Me [Q1–Q3]	35.0 [14.0; 64]
CRP, mg/L, Me [Q1–Q3]	14.6 [3.70; 33.0]
DAS28-ESR, $M\pm\sigma$	5.87±1.10
DAS28-CRP, M±σ	5.38±0.90
SDAI, Me [Q1–Q3]	32.5 [25.17; 41.83]
CDAI, Me [Q1–Q3]	31 [23.5; 38.0]
Treatment at the current admission, n (%): TNFα iIL6 RTX ABT JAKi	39 (41.5) 12 (12.8) 9 (9.6) 13 (13.8%) 21 (22.3)

Note. TJC – tender joint count; SJC – swollen joint count; PtGA – patient global assessment; PhGA – physician global assessment; VAS – visual analogue scale; DAS28-ESR – Disease Activity Score by ESR level; DAS28-CRP – Disease Activity Score by C reactive protein level; SDAI – Simplified Disease Activity Index; CDAI – Clinical Disease Activity Index; TNF α – tumor necrosis factor inhibitors; iIL6 – interleukin 6 inhibitors; RTX – rituximab; ABT – abatacept.

registration and interpretation of the obtained results were performed on a detection amplifier DT-96 (DNA-Technology LLC, Russia). Genotyping was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions.

SNPs of each studied gene were compared in the responding and nonresponding groups.

The data were statistically analyzed using the standard statistical analysis package IBM SPSS Statistics 27 (IBM Corp., USA) and MedCalc MedCalc statistical software version 20.030 (MedCalc Software by, Ostend, Belgium; https://www.medcalc.org; 2024). Quantitative variables are presented as mean and standard deviation $(M \pm \sigma)$. Median and interquartile range (Me [Q1-Q3]) were used when there was no normal distribution in the groups. Normality of distribution was tested using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Qualitative variables were presented as absolute values and their relative frequencies (%). Pearson's χ^2 test and Fisher's exact test were used to evaluate the results obtained. The Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was verified for all SNPs by the Pearson χ^2 test. The Chi-squared criterion was used to assess the differences in the distribution of gene SNPs genotypes and alleles between the groups. The odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated using logistic regression analysis to estimate the measure of risk of

treatment ineffectiveness. Analyses were performed according to three inheritance models: dominant, recessive, and multiplicative. Statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05.

All patients provided written consent to participate in the study. The present study was approved by the local ethics committee of V.A. Nasonova Research Institute (protocol number 23 from 17.11.2022).

Results. The distribution of genotypes of SNPs such as TNFAIP3 (rs10499194), TNFSF13B (BAFF) (rs9514828), KCNS1 (rs734784) and STAT4 (rs7574865) were statistically significantly different in patients according to their response to bDMARDs/JAKi therapy (p=0.041. p=0.008, p=0.033 and p=0.019, respectively). The distribution of genotypes of TNFA (rs1800629) and CTLA-4 (rs231775) SNPs tended to be statistically significantly different (p=0.065, p=0.083 respectively), and the genotype distribution of IL-6(rs1800795), IL-6R (rs2228145), TNFAIP3 (rs6920220), COMT (rs4633) and IL-10 (rs1800872) did not differ in two groups (p=0.22, p=0.77, p=0.81, p=0.57 and p=0.76 respectively; Table 2).

A statistical analysis was conducted to examine the correlation between the studied SNPs and the efficacy of bD-MARDs/JAKi following the change of the initial drug class, utilizing various genetic models. This analysis identified several statistically significant associations for polymorphic variants of the TNFAIP3 (rs10499194), TNFSF13B (BAFF) (rs9514828), KCNS1 (rs734784) and STAT4 (rs7574865). The presence of minor T (CT + TT) allele of the *TNFAIP3* (rs10499194) was found to increase the risk of treatment ineffectiveness according to the dominant model (CC vs. CT + TT: OR=2.84; 95% CI 1.2-6.56; p=0.013; see Table 2). In patients with the CT + TTgenotype, the ineffectiveness of bD-MARDs/JAKi was observed in 63% of cases, compared to 37.5% of patients with the homozygous CC genotype (p=0.03). Furthermore, the multiplicative genetic model (C vs. T) revealed that the minor T allele increased the risk of treatment ineffectiveness twofold (OR=2.0; 95% CI: 1.0-3.8; p=0.036).

Conversely, the presence of the mutant T allele of the TNFSF13B (BAFF) (rs9514828) was associated with a reduced risk of treatment ineffectiveness according to the dominant model (CC vs. CT + TT: OR=0.25; 95% CI 0.10–0.66; p=0.004;

Table 2. Distribution of genotypes and alleles of gene polymorphisms depending on response to bDMARD/JAKi therapy, n (%)

Characteristics		Response to the yes (n=47)	nerapy no (n=47)	р					
Genotype Alleles	GG CG CC G	9 (21,4) 22 (52,4) 11 (26,2) 40 (47,6)	13 (31) 24 (57,1) 5 (11,9) 40 (47,6)	0,216 1,0					
	С	44 (52,4)	44 (52,4)						
	II 6D (uc)	228145 n=04							
Genotype	AA AC CC	17 (36,2) 21 (44,7) 9 (19,1)	20 (42,6) 20 (42,6) 7 (14,9)	0,772					
Alleles	A	55 (58,5)	60 (63,8)	0,456					
	C	39 (41,3)	54 (50,2)						
<i>TNFA (rs1800629)</i> , n=94									
Genotype	GG AG AA	42 (89,4) 5 (10,6) 0	34 (72,3) 12 (25,5) 1 (2,1)	0,065					
Alleles	G	89 (94,7)	80 (85,1)	0,037					
Dominant model	A GG AG + AA	5 (5,3) 42 (89,4) 5 (10,6)	14 (14,9) 34 (72,3) 13 (27,7)	0,036					
	TNEAID3 (us)	(0/0010/) n=0/							
Genotype	CC CT TT	30 (63,8) 14 (29,8) 3 (6.4)	18 (38,3) 25 (53,2) 4 (8,5)	0,041					
Alleles	C	74 (78,7)	61 (64,9)	0,036					
	Т	20 (21,3)	33 (35,1)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Dominant model	CC CT + TT	30 (63,8) 17 (36 2)	18 (38,3) 29 (61 7)	0,013					
		(,-)							
Conotino	TNFAIP3 (rs	6920220), n=94	22 (69 1)	0.000					
Genotype	AG	17 (36,2)	13 (27,7)	0,809					
	AA	2 (4,3)	2 (4,3)						
Alleles	G	73 (77,7)	77 (81,9)	0,47					
	71	21 (22,5)	17 (10,1)						
	CTLA-4 (rsz	<i>231775</i>), n=94	20 (12 ()	0.000					
Genotype	AA	14 (29,8) 26 (55 3)	20 (42,6)	0,083					
	GG	7 (14,9)	12 (25,5)						
Alleles	A	54 (57,4)	55 (58,5)	0,88					
Super dominant model	G AA + GG	40 (42,6) 21 (44,7)	39 (41,3)	0.022					
	AG	26 (55,3)	15 (31,9)	- , -					
Genotype	CC	8 (17)	21 (44,7)	0,008					
• •	CT	32 (68,1)	18 (38,3)	,					
Allalas	TT	7 (14,9)	8 (17)	0.078					
Alleles	T	46 (48,9)	34 (36,2)	0,078					
Dominant model	CC	8 (17)	21 (44,7)	0,004					
	CT + TT	39 (83)	26 (55,3)						
	KCNS1 (rs)	<i>734784)</i> , n=94							
Genotype	AA	9 (19,1)	21 (44,7)	0,033					
	AG GG	31 (66) 7 (14.9)	20(42,6) 6(12.8)						
Alleles	A	49 (52,1)	62 (66)	0,055					
Dentro ta la	G	45 (47,9)	32 (34)	0.000					
Dominant model	AA AG + GG	9 (19,1) 38 (80,9)	21 (44,7) 26 (55,3)	0,008					

Characteristics	Response to therapy yes (n=47) no (n=47)		р						
COMT (rs4633) n=94									
Genotype	CC	9 (19,1)	13 (27,7)	0,57					
	CT	25 (53,2)	24 (51,1)						
	TT	13 (27,7)	10 (21,3)						
Alleles	С	43 (45,7)	50 (53,2)	0,31					
	Т	51 (54,3)	44 (46,8)						
<i>IL-10 (rs1800872)</i> , n=94									
Genotype	CC	25 (53,2)	29 (61,7)	0,76					
	AC	21 (44,7)	17 (36,2)						
	AA	1 (2,1)	1 (2,1)						
Alleles	С	71 (75,5)	75 (79,8)	0,48					
	А	23 (24,5)	19 (20,2)						
a	SIAI4 (rs/	5/4865), n=94		0.010					
Genotype	GG	33 (70,2)	20 (42,6)	0,019					
	GT	12 (25,5)	24 (51,1)						
	TT	2 (4,3)	3 (6,4)						
Alleles	G	78 (82,9)	64 (68,1)	0,019					
	Т	16 (17,1)	30 (31,9)						
Dominant model	GG	33 (70,2)	20 (42,6)	0,007					
	GT + TT	14 (29,8)	27 (57,4)						

see Table 2). Data on the frequency of ineffective therapy according to depending on the presence of the minor T allele (p=0.005) are shown in Figure 1.

According to the dominant model (see Table 2), the presence of variant allele G (AG +GG) of the *KCNS1 (rs734784)* reduced the risk of treatment ineffectiveness compared to patient with carrying the AA genotype (OR=0.29; 95% CI 0.12–0.74; p=0.008). Among the carriers of minor G allele (AG+GG) the therapy was ineffective in 40.6% of cases, compared to 70.0% of AA genotype patients (p=0.01).

The presence of variant T allele (GT + TT) allele of the *STAT4 (rs7574865)* increased the risk of treatment ineffectiveness compared to the GG genotype (OR=3.18; 95% CI 1.36–7.46; p=0.007) according to the dominant model (see Table 2). The incidence of ineffectiveness depending on the presence of the minor T allele (p=0.01) is shown in Figure 2. The multiplicative model (G vs T) was statistically significant (OR=2.29; 95% CI 1.15–4.56; p=0.019).

The multiplicative model (G vs A) was statistically significant for the *TNFA (rs1800629)* (OR=3.12; 95% CI 1.10–9.03; p=0.037). The patients carrying variant allele A (AG+AA) of the *TNFA*



Fig. 1. Frequency of treatment failure depending on the presence of the minor T allele (CT + TT) of the TNFSF13B (BAFF) polymorphism (rs9514828) (*rs1800629*) have an increased the risk of treatment ineffectiveness compared to carriers of the GG genotype: OR=3.21 (95% CI 1.04–9.90; p=0.036) according to the dominant model (see Table 2). In carriers of minor A allele (AG+AA), treatment ineffectiveness occurred in 72.2% of cases and in 44.7% of patients with the GG genotype (p=0.04).

Statistically significant differences were also observed when examining the super dominant model of *CTLA-4 (rs231775)*, p=0.022. The presence of the homozygous genotype (AA + GG) increased the risk of treatment ineffectiveness (OR=2.6; 95% CI 1.14–6.25) compared to the heterozygous genotype (see Table 2). In carriers of the homozygous (AA + GG) genotype, treatment ineffectiveness occurred in 60.4% of cases and in 36.6% of cases with the AG genotype (p=0.03).

The *IL-6* (*rs1800795*), *IL-6R* (*rs2228145*), *COMT* (*rs4633*) and *IL-10*

(rs1800872) were not associated with the risk of treatment ineffectiveness in the studied group of patients requiring bDMARDs/JAKi switching.

Discussion. The present study evaluated the contribution of *IL-6R*, *TNFAIP3*, *TNF-* α , *TNFAIP3*, *CTLA-4*, *TNFSF13B* (*BAFF*), *KCNS1*, *COMT*, *IL-10* and *STAT4* genes SNPs to the development of ineffectiveness of bDMARDs/JAKi in RA patients. Carrying the T minor allele for *TNFAIP3* (*rs10499194*), *STAT4* (*rs7574865*) and the A allele for *TNFA* (*rs1800629*) has been shown to be associated with an increased risk of ineffectiveness after switching to another drug, whereas the presence of the G and T minor alleles of the *KCNS1* (*rs734784*) and *BAFF* (*rs9514828*), respectively, and the AG genotype of the *CTLA-4* (*rs231775*) were associated with a reduction in this risk.

In our study, we found that the mutant T allele of the *TNFA1P3* gene (rs10499194) increased the risk of ineffective bDMARDs/JAKi therapy (OR=2.84; p=0.013). We could not find any data in the literature on the association of this SNP with poor response to bDMARDs/JAKi. At the same time, the *TNFA1P3* gene is known to encode the ubiquitin-modifying enzyme A20, a critical regulator of the NF- κ B pathway, which suppresses the development of the



Fig. 2. Frequency of treatment failure depending on the presence of the minor T allele (GT + TT) of the STAT4 polymorphism (rs7574865)

inflammatory cascade in RA [36]. Most international studies have shown a protective role of the *rs10499194* SNP in the development of RA [36–39]. It can be assumed that the presence of SNP *rs10499194* in patients with established RA may determine resistance to treatment as a result of diminished production of proinflammatory cytokines, which are the target of bDMARDs.

According to our data, the presence of variant allele T (GT + TT) of the STAT4 (rs7574865) in the genotype increased the risk of non-response to treatment threefold compared with carrying the GG genotype according to the dominant model. The influence of the STAT4 SNP on the risk of developing RA has been widely discussed in the international literature [21, 40-42]. The association of this polymorphism with the response to bDMARDs has also been established. For example, in the study by P. Conigliaro et al [43] in an Italian cohort of RA patients, the STAT4 (rs7574865) was associated with no response to TNFa by EULAR criteria (OR=0.38; p=0.05). These findings were confirmed when examining the association between the rs7574865 and response to treatment according to EULAR. Carriers of the variant allele had worse response at 2 years (OR=0.16; p=0.013). However, P.A. Juge et al [44] found no statistically significant association between the STAT4 (rs7574865) and response to RTX after 6 months of treatment (p=0.284) according to the recessive model (GG+GT vs. TT). The effect of STAT4 gene mutation on the efficacy of bDMARDs therapy may be determined by the fact that the protein it encodes is a cytoplasmic transcription factor for interleukin (IL) 12 and IL23. These determine the differentiation and proliferation of Th1 and Th17 cells [20]. Accordingly, mutation of the STAT4 gene can lead to their increased activation, thereby increasing the severity of immune-mediated inflammation [45].

We showed that the minor allele A of the TNFA gene (rs1800629) is associated with a high risk of bDMARD/JAKi inefficiency (OR=3.21; 95% CI 1.04-9.90), which is consistent with the results of most studies. For example, a recent meta-analysis by R.F. Al-Sofi et al [46] investigating the prognostic significance of this SNP showed that the A allele of the TNFA -308G > A gene was associated with an overall poor response to TNFα (OR=0.71; 95% CI 0.55-0.92) in 25 studies encompassing 4,341 patients, including those with RA. One study not included in this meta-analysis found that lack of response to TNF α was more common in carriers of the AG genotype than the GG genotype (p < 0.05); in this study no homozygous variant genotype (AA) was identified [47]. J.R. Maxwel et al [48] found an association between the response to $TNF\alpha$ (p=0.001) and the TNFA gene SNP - 308G>A (rs1800629) in the whole cohort of patients (n=1050). After stratifying by the drug used, the variant AA genotype was found to be associated with a significantly worse response compared to the G allele in patients treated with etanercept - ETN (p=0.001). A Russian team led by I.A. Guseva obtained very interesting results. They found that patients with the GG and AG genotypes had good or satisfactory response to tocilizumab (OR=8.0; 95% CI 1.2-52.8; p=0.03) when investigating the association of TNFA -308G>A gene SNPs with primary response to tocilizumab therapy. At the same time, the AA genotype was not detected in the study group, which may be due to the small number of patients who did not respond to treatment [49]. We showed that the presence of a variant T allele of TNFSF13B (rs9514828) was associated with a reduced risk of bDMARD/JAKi non-response (OR=0.25; p=0.004). At the same time, R.A. Juge et al [44] found that CC and CT genotypes of TNFSF13B (rs9514828) were associated with good response to RTX (p=0.035). Though our findings show the opposite Contrary to the findings of the present data, it is important to note that the non-responder group in the above-mentioned study was small (n=22). In this group, BAFF levels prior to the initiation of RTX therapy were lower than in the responder group. This may indirectly indicate reduced BAFF production due to the presence of the mutant allele. BAFF is a B-cell activating factor that modulates the processes of activation and differentiation of B-cells that produce anti-drug antibodies (ADAs) [50]. It reduces the response to bDMARDs therapy in RA [44]. Increased BAFF gene expression has also been associated with increased autoantibody production [51] in RA patients with a high rate of disease progression [52]. Thus, the presence of the mutant allele may result in a decrease in BAFF production and, therefore, a decrease in the production of ADAs and proinflammatory mediators.

We found an association between the *KCNS1 (rs734784)* and response to bDMARDs/JAKi. We showed that the presence of mutant G allele (AG + GG) reduced the risk of ineffective therapy by 3.45 times compared with carrying the AA genotype. A review of the literature revealed no data on the impact of this gene on the effectiveness of bDMARDs/JAKi. The *KCNS1* gene encodes a subunit of the neuronal potassium channel Kv9.1, modulating its function and contributing to chronic pain development [53]. According to recent data, the presence of the G allele of the *KCNS1* gene (*rs734784*) (*A*>*G*) was associated with an increased risk of postoperative pain [54, 55]. The difference between our results and the literature data may be explained by different pathogenesis of inflammatory pain in patients with RA and postoperative pain.

When examining the *CTLA-4 (rs231775)*, we found that the AG genotype (AA+GG vs. AG) reduced the risk of ineffectiveness of bDMARDs/JAKi (OR=0.38; 95% CI 0.16–0.88), which is in agreement with the results of the study by N. Pete et al. [28], that the G allele was associated with a more favorable EULAR response after 12 months of ABT therapy (G vs AA; OR=3.48; 95% CI 1.20 – 10.09).

In contrast, the *IL-6 (rs1800795)*, *IL-6R (rs2228145)*, *TNFAIP3 (rs6920220)*, *COMT (rs4633)* and *IL-10 (rs1800872)* SNPs were not associated with the risk of treatment ineffectiveness in the bDMARD/JAKi switch group. It should also be noted that in the research of H. Schotte et al. [34], who analyzed the response to ETN depending on four polymorphisms of the IL10 gene, in particular, no correlation of the *rs1800872* with the outcome of ETN therapy was found.

This study has several limitations. Firstly, only one SNP was analyzed for each selected gene. Other SNPs (or combinations of SNPs) may be involved in treatment response. Furthermore, there are certain limitations related to the relatively small sample size. Given the small number of observations, we did not correct for multiple comparisons. Therefore, our results should be considered preliminary.

Conclusion. Our findings suggest six genetic predictors of bD-MARD/JAKi treatment failure: *TNFAIP3 (rs10499194)*, *STAT4 (rs7574865)*, *TNFA (rs1800629)*, *TNFSF13B (BAFF) (rs9514828)*, *KCNS1 (rs734784)* and *CTLA-4 (rs231775)*. The identification of these polymorphisms may facilitate the selection of patients for whom the prescription of bDMARDs/JAKi is particularly relevant, especially following the failure of first-line therapy. However, given the limited predictive capacity of individual polymorphisms, the identification of combinations of SNPs that are important in the development of RA and the response to bDMARD/JAKi may provide a more personalized treatment approach. Such a study would require a significant increase in sample size, including different ethnic groups of patients and stratification by drug class.

1. Насонов ЕЛ. Перспективы фармакотерапии ревматоидного артрита: новые возможности и рекомендации. Терапевтический архив. 2016; 88(12):4-10. [Nasonov EL. Prospects for rheumatoid arthritis pharmacotherapy: New opportunities and recommendations. Terapevticheskii Arkhiv. 2016; 88(12):4-10. (In Russ.)]. 2. Thomas K, Lazani A, Kaltsonoudis E, et al. Treatment patterns and achievement of the treat-to-target goals in a real-life rheumatoid arthritis patient cohort: data from 1317 patients. Ther Adv Musculoskelet Dis. 2020 Sep 28:12:1759720X20937132. doi: 10.1177/ 1759720X20937132. eCollection 2020. 3. Yu C. et al. Remission rate and predictors of remission in patients with rheumatoid arthritis under treat-to-target strategy in real-world studies: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Rheumatol. 2019 Mar;38(3):727-738. doi: 10.1007/s10067-018-4340-7. Epub 2018 Oct 19. 4. Бобкова АО, Лила АМ. Проблема переключений генно-инженерных биологических препаратов и ингибиторов Янус-киназ у пациентов с ревматоидным артритом. Современная ревматология. 2023; 17(3):82-88.

[Bobkova AO. Lila AM. Switching biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs and Janus kinase inhibitors in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Sovremennaya Revmatologiya* = *Modern Rheumatology Joournal*. 2023;17(3): 82-88. (In Russ.)]. doi; 10.14412/1996-7012-2023-3-82-88

5. Гордеев АВ, Олюнин ЮА, Галушко ЕА и др. Труднолечимый ревматоидный артрит. Какой он? Современная ревматология. 2021;15(5):7-11.

[Gordeev AV, Olyunin YuA, Galushko EA, et al. Difficult-to-treat rheumatoid arthritis. What is it? *Sovremennaya Revmatologiya* = *Modern Rheumatology Joournal*. 2021;15(5): 7-11. (In Russ.)]. doi:10.14412/1996-7012-2021-5-7-11

6. Smolen J, Aletaha D, Barton A, et al. Rheumatoid arthritis. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*.
2018 Feb 8:4:18001. doi: 10.1038/nrdp.2018.1
7. Kerschbaumer A, Sepriano A, Bergstra SA, et al. Efficacy of synthetic and biological DMARDs: A systematic literature review informing the 2022 update of the EULAR recommendations for the management of rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2023 Jan;82(1):95-106. doi: 10.1136/ard-2022-223365. Epub 2022 Nov 11.

8. Smolen JS, Landewe RBM, Bergstra SA, et al. EULAR recommendations for the management of rheumatoid arthritis with synthetic and biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: 2022 update. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2023 Jan;82(1):3-18. doi: 10.1136/ard-2022-223356. Epub 2022 Nov 10.

9. Wei K, Jiang P, Zhao J, et al. Biomarkers to Predict DMARDs Efficacy and Adverse Effect in Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Front Immunol.*

REFERENCES

2022 Mar 28;13:865267. doi: 10.3389/ fimmu.2022.865267.

10. Wei M, Chu CQ. Prediction of treatment response: Personalized medicine in the management of rheumatoid arthritis. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol.* 2022 Mar;36(1):101741. doi: 10.1016/j.berh.2021.101741. Epub 2022 Jan 19.

11. Yoshii I, Sawada N, Chijiwa T. Clinical characteristics and variants that predict prognosis of difficult-to-treat rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatol Int.* 2022 Nov;42(11):1947-1954. doi: 10.1007/s00296-022-05124-1. Epub 2022 Apr 11.

12. Watanabe R, Hashimoto M, Murata K, et al. Prevalence and predictive factors of difficult-to-treat rheumatoid arthritis: the KURAMA cohort. *Immunol Med.* 2022 Mar; 45(1):35-44. doi: 10.1080/25785826.2021. 1928383. Epub 2021 May 25.

13 Novella-Navarro M, Plasencia C, Tornero C, et al. Clinical predictors of multiple failure to biological therapy in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2020 Dec 9;22(1): 284. doi: 10.1186/s13075-020-02354-1 14. Gamboa-Cardenas RV, Ugarte-Gil MF, Loreto M, et al. Clinical predictors of remission and low disease activity in Latin American early rheumatoid arthritis: data from the GLADAR cohort. *Clin Rheumatol.* 2019 Oct; 38(10):2737-2746. doi: 10.1007/s10067-019-04618-x. Epub 2019 Jun 3.

15. Авдеева АС, Кусевич ДА. Роль лабораторных биомаркеров в прогнозировании эффективности терапии ритуксимабом при ревматоидном артрите (новые данные). Научно-практическая ревматология. 2017;55(3):295-303.

[Avdeeva AS, Kusevich DA. The role of laboratory biomarkers in predicting the efficiency of rituximab therapy for rheumatoid arthritis: New evidence. Nauchno-prakticheskaya revmatologiya. 2017;55(3):295-303.(In Russ.)]. 16. Law-Wan J, Sparfel MA, Derolez S, et al. Predictors of response to TNF inhibitors in rheumatoid arthritis: An individual patient data pooled analysis of randomised controlled trials. RMD Open. 2021 Nov;7(3):e001882. doi: 10.1136/rmdopen-2021-001882. 17. Roodenrijs NMT, Welsing PMJ, van Roon J, et al. Mechanisms underlying DMARD inefficacy in difficult-to-treat rheumatoid arthritis: a narrative review with systematic literature search // Rheumatology (United Kingdom). Rheumatology (Oxford). 2022 Aug 30;61(9):3552-3566. doi: 10.1093/ rheumatology/keac114.

 Nouri B, Nair N, Barton A. Predicting treatment response to IL6R blockers in rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2020 Dec 1;59(12):3603-3610. doi: 10.1093/ rheumatology/keaa529.

19. Ciccacci C, Conigliaro P, Perricone C, et al. Polymorphisms in STAT-4, IL-10, PSORS1C1, PTPN2 and MIR146A genes are associated differently with prognostic factors in Italian patients affected by rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Exp Immunol.* 2016 Nov;186(2): 157-163. doi: 10.1111/cei.12831. Epub 2016 Aug 2.

20. Tarakji I, Habbal W, Monem F. Association Between STAT4 rs7574865 Polymorphism and Rheumatoid Arthritis: Debate Unresolved. *Open Rheumatol J.* 2018 Oct 24:12: 172-178. doi: 10.2174/1874312901812010172. eCollection 2018.

21. Gao W, Dong X, Yang Z, et al. Association between rs7574865 polymorphism in STAT4 gene and rheumatoid arthritis: An updated meta-analysis. *Eur J Intern Med.* 2020 Jan;71:101-103. doi: 10.1016/j.ejim.2019.11.009. Epub 2019 Nov 19.

22. Santillan-Lopez E, Mucoz-Valle JF, Oregon-Romero E, et al. Analysis of TNFSF13B polymorphisms and BAFF expression in rheumatoid arthritis and primary Sjögren's syndrome patients. *Mol Genet Genomic Med*. 2022 Jun;10(6):e1950. doi: 10.1002/mgg3. 1950. Epub 2022 Apr 12.

23. Wang YL, Li XY, Liu L, et al. Evaluation of genetic polymorphisms in TNF 308G/A rs1800629 associated with susceptibility and severity of rheumatoid arthritis: A systematic review and meta analysis. *Exp Ther Med.* 2024 May 13;28(1):279. doi: 10.3892/etm.2024.12567. eCollection 2024 Jul.

24. Toonen EJM, Barrera P, Fransen J, et al. Meta-analysis identified the TNFA -308G > A promoter polymorphism as a risk factor for disease severity in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Res Ther*. 2012 Dec 7;14(6):R264. doi: 10.1186/ar4110. 25. Shen N, Ruan Y, Lu Y, et al. Three single nucleotide polymorphisms of TNFAIP3 gene increase the risk of rheumatoid arthritis. *Oncotarget*. 2017 Mar 28;8(13):20784-20793. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.15265.

26. Liu W, Yang Z, Chen Y, et al. The Association Between CTLA-4, CD80/86, and CD28 Gene Polymorphisms and Rheumatoid Arthritis: An Original Study and Meta-Analysis. *Front Med (Lausanne)*. 2021 Feb 2:8:598076. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.598076. eCollection 2021.

27 Zhou C, Gao S, Yuan X, et al. Association between CTLA-4 gene polymorphism and risk of rheumatoid arthritis: a meta-analysis. *Aging* (*Albany NY*). 2021 Aug 2;13(15):19397-19414. doi: 10.18632/aging.203349. Epub 2021 Aug 2. 28. Pete NM, Del Mar Maldonado Montoro M, Perez Ramirez C, et al. Impact of Single-Nucleotide Polymorphisms of CTLA-4, CD80 and CD86 on the Effectiveness of Abatacept in Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis. *J Pers Med.* 2020 Nov 11;10(4):220. doi: 10.3390/jpm10040220.

29. Sainz L, Riera P, Moya P, et al. Role of IL6R Genetic Variants in Predicting Response to Tocilizumab in Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Pharmaceutics*. 2022 Sep 14;14(9):

1942. doi: 10.3390/pharmaceutics14091942. 30. Schotte H, Schmidt H, Gaubitz M, et al. Interleukin-6 promoter haplotypes are associated with etanercept response in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Rheumatol.* 2015 Dec;34(12):2021-8. doi: 10.1007/s10067-015-3107-7. Epub 2015 Nov 3.

31. Augusto Silva dos Santos Rodrigues P, Lima de Oliveira , Mattos Brandro K, et al. Genetic variants in the TNF pathway impact TNFi response in a mixed population with rheumatoid arthritis. *Gene*. 2024 Nov 30:928: 148804. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2024.148804. Epub 2024 Jul 30.

32. Sainz L, Riera P, Moya P, et al. Impact of IL6R genetic variants on treatment efficacy and toxicity response to sarilumab in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Res Ther. 2023 Nov 24; 25(1):226. doi: 10.1186/s13075-023-03209-1. 33. Janahiraman S. et al. Genetic Biomarkers as Predictors of Response to Tocilizumab in Rheumatoid Arthritis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Genes (Basel). 2022 Jul 20;13(7):1284. doi: 10.3390/genes13071284. 34. Schotte H, Too CL, Lee KW, et al. Putative IL-10 Low Producer Genotypes Are Associated with a Favourable Etanercept Response in Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis. PLoS One. 2015 Jun 24;10(6):e0130907. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0130907. eCollection 2015.

35. Robledo G, Davila-Fajardo CL, Marquez A, et al. Association between -174 interleukin-6 gene polymorphism and biological response to rituximab in several systemic autoimmune diseases. *DNA Cell Biol.* 2012 Sep; 31(9):1486-91. doi: 10.1089/dna.2012.1684. Epub 2012 Jun 26.

36. Zhang X, Li W, Zhang X, et al. Single nucleotide polymorphisms in TNFAIP3 were associated with the risks of rheumatoid arthritis in northern Chinese Han population. *BMC Med Genet.* 2014 May 15;15:56. doi: 10.1186/1471-2350-15-56.

37. Wang MJ, Yang HY, Zhang H, et al. TNFAIP3 gene rs10499194, rs13207033 polymorphisms decrease the risk of rheumatoid arthritis. *Oncotarget*. 2016 Dec 13;7(50): 82933-82942. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.12638. 38. Гусева ИА, Демидова HB, Сорока HE и др. Исследование полиморфизмов генов-кандидатов иммунного ответа как маркеров риска развития ревматоидного артрита и продукции аутоантител. Научно-практическая ревматология. 2016; 54(1):21-30.

[Guseva IA, Demidova NV, Soroka NE, et al. Investigation of candidate gene polymorphisms in an immune response as markers for the risk of developing rheumatoid arthritis and producing autoantibodies. *Nauchno-prakticheskaya revmatologiya*. 2016;54(1):21-30. (In Russ.)].

 Бусева ИА. Молекулярно-генетическая характеристика раннего ревматоидного артрита. Молекулярная медицина. 2016;

14(1):15-21.

[Guseva IA. Molecular-genetic characteristics of range rheumatoid arthritis. *Molekulyarnaya meditsina*. 2016;14(1):15-21. (In Russ.)]. 40. Гусева ИА, Крылов МЮ, Демидова НВ и др. Полиморфизм rs7574865 гена STAT4 и риск развития раннего ревматоидного артрита (исследование Ремарка). Научно-практическая ревматология. 2019;57(1):62-65. [Guseva IA, Krylov MYu, Demidova NV, et al. The RS7574865 polymorphism of the stat4 gene and risk of early rheumatoid arthritis development (The remarka study). *Nauchno-Prakticheskaya Revmatologiya*. 2019;57(1):62-65. (In Russ.)].

41. Elshazli R, Settin A. Association of PTPN22 rs2476601 and STAT4 rs7574865 polymorphisms with rheumatoid arthritis: A meta-analysis update. Immunobiology. *Immunobiology*. 2015 Aug;220(8):1012-24. doi: 10.1016/j.imbio.2015.04.003. Epub 2015 Apr 28.

42. Ebrahimiyan H, Mostafaei S, Aslani S, et al. Studying the Association between STAT4 Gene Polymorphism and Susceptibility to Rheumatoid Arthritis Disease: An Updated Meta-Analysis. *Iran J Immunol.* 2019 Mar;16(1):71-83. doi: 10.22034/IJI. 2019.39408.

43. Conigliaro P, Ciccacci C, Politi C, et al. Polymorphisms in STAT4, PTPN2, PSORS1C1 and TRAF3IP2 Genes Are Associated with the Response to TNF Inhibitors in Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis. PLoS One. 2017 Jan 20;12(1):e0169956. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0169956. eCollection 2017. 44. Juge PA, Gazal S, Constantin A, et al. Variants of genes implicated in type 1 interferon pathway and B-cell activation modulate the EULAR response to rituximab at 24 weeks in rheumatoid arthritis. RMD Open. 2017 Sep 28;3(2):e000448. doi: 10.1136/rmdopen-2017-000448. eCollection 2017. 45. Jiang X, Zhou Z, Zhang Y, et al. An updated meta-analysis of the signal transducer and activator of transcription 4 (STAT4) rs7574865 G/T polymorphism and rheumatoid arthritis risk in an Asian population. Scand J Rheumatol. 2014;43(6):477-80. doi: 10.3109/03009742.2014.918174. Epub 2014 Sep 2.

46. Al-Sofi RF, Bergmann MS, Nielsen CH, et al. The Association between Genetics and Response to Treatment with Biologics in Patients with Psoriasis, Psoriatic Arthritis, Rheumatoid Arthritis, and Inflammatory Bowel Diseases: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2024 May 26; 25(11):5793. doi: 10.3390/ijms25115793. 47. Wang Z, Kong L, Zhang H, et al. Tumor Necrosis Factor Alpha -308G/A Gene Polymorphisms Combined with Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte and Platelet-to-Lymphocyte Ratio Predicts the Efficacy and Safety of Anti-TNF- α Therapy in Patients with Ankylosing Spondylitis, Rheumatoid Arthritis, and Psoriasis Arthritis. *Front Pharmacol.* 2022 Jan 21: 12:811719. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.811719. eCollection 2021.

48. Maxwel JR, Potter C, Hyrich KL, et al. Association of the tumour necrosis factor-308 variant with differential response to anti-TNF agents in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2008 Nov 15;17(22): 3532-8. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddn245. Epub 2008 Aug 19.

49. Гусева ИА, Панасюк ЕЮ, Сорока НЕ и др. Ассоциативная взаимосвязь генетических маркеров с эффективностью лечения ревматоидного артрита тоцилизумабом. Научно-практическая ревматология. 2013;51(4):377-382.

[Guseva IA, Panasyuk EYu, Soroka NE, et al. Association of genetic markers with the efficiency of tocilizumab treatment for rheumatoid arthritis. *Nauchno-prakticheskaya revmatologiya*. 2013;51(4):377-382. (In Russ.)]. 50. Hernandez-Breijo B, Navarro-Compan V, Plasencia-Rodriguez C, et al. BAFF predicts immunogenicity in older patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with TNF inhibitors. *Sci Rep*. 2021 Jun 2;11(1):11632. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-91177-4. 51 Camarena DC, Marin-Rosales M, Cruz A, et al. Analysis of TNFSF13B polymorphisms and BAFF expression in rheumatoid arthritis and primary Sjögren's syndrome patients. *Mol*

Genet Genomic Med. 2022 Jun;10(6):e1950. doi: 10.1002/mgg3.1950. Epub 2022 Apr 12. 52. Wei F, Chang Y, Wei W. The role of BAFF in the progression of rheumatoid arthritis. *Cytokine.* 2015 Dec;76(2):537-544. doi: 10.1016/ j.cyto.2015.07.014. Epub 2015 Jul 18. 53. Costigan M, Belfer I, Griffin RS, et al. Multiple chronic pain states are associated with a common amino acid-changing allele in KCNS1. *Brain.* 2010 Sep;133(9):2519-27. doi: 10.1093/brain/awq195. Epub 2010 Aug 18.

54. Chidambaran V, Gang Y, Pilipenko V, et al. Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Genetic Risk of Developing Chronic Postsurgical Pain. *J Pain*. 2020 Jan-Feb;21(1-2):2-24. doi: 10.1016/j.jpain.2019.05.008. Epub 2019 May 23.

55. Глемба КЕ, Гусева ИА, Каратеев АЕ и др. Влияние полиморфизмов генов KCNS1, COMT и OPRM1 на развитие послеоперационной боли у пациентов с остеоартритом, перенесших тотальное эндопротезирование коленного или тазобедренного сустава. Научно-практическая ревматология. 2021;59(5):578-583. [Glemba KE, Guseva IA, Karateev AE, et al. Polymorphisms of the KCNS1, COMT and OPRM1 genes and development of postoperative pain in patients with osteoarthritis who underwent total knee or hip replacement. *Nauchno-prakticheskaya revmatologiya*. 2021; 59(5):578-583. (In Russ.)].

Received/Reviewed/Accepted 01.11.2024/12.01.2025/15.01.2025

Conflict of Interest Statement

The article was prepared within the framework of the basic research topic FURS-2022-008, state assignment number 1021051503137-7. The investigation has not been sponsored. There are no conflicts of interest. The authors are solely responsible for submitting the final version of the manuscript for publication. All the authors have participated in developing the concept of the article and in writing the manuscript. The final version of the manuscript has been approved by all the authors.

Bobkova A.O. https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9958-8988 Lila A.M. https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6068-3080 Karateev A.E. https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1391-0711 Guseva I.A. https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4906-7148 Samarkina E.Yu. https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7501-9185 Shabatina M.V. https://orcid.org/0009-0009-7981-5360 Konovalova N.V. https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4316-1077 Varlamov D.A. https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7004-981X